arXiv:1404.5092v1 [math.AG] 21 Apr 2014

# A NOTE ON MATHER-JACOBIAN MULTIPLIER IDEALS

WENBO NIU AND BERND ULRICH

Abstract. By using Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideals, we first prove a formula on comparing Grauert-Riemenschneider canonical sheaf with canonical sheaf of a variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then we turn to study Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideals on algebraic curve, in which case the definition of Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideal can be extended to a ground field of any characteristic. We show that Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideal on curves is essentially the same as an integrally closed ideal. Finally by comparing conductor ideal with Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideal, we give a criterion when an algebraic curve is a locally complete intersection.

## 1. Introduction

Recently, the theory of Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideals (MJ-multiplier for short) on arbitrary varieties over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero has been developed by Ein-Ishii-Mustata [EIM11] and Ein-Ishii [EI13] (see also de Fernex-Docampo [dFD] for a similar theory on normal varieties). This new notion generalizes the classical theory of multiplier ideals on nonsingular varieties (or normal $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein varieties) and has found some interesting applications. Throughout this paper, the ground field $k$ is always assumed to be *algebraically closed*. By a variety we mean a reduced equidimensional separated scheme of finite type over $k$.

We first prove the following result on comparing Grauert-Riemenschneider canonical sheaf with canonical sheaf of a variety by using MJ-multiplier ideals. This result partially generalizes a formula established by de Fernex-Docampo in [dFD, Theorem C] on a normal variety.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $X$ be a variety over $k$ of characteristic zero. Let $\omega_X$ be the canonical sheaf, $\omega_X^{GR}$ the Grauert- Riemenschneider canonical sheaf, and $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ the Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideal. Then*

$$\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)\cdot\omega_X\subseteq\omega_X^{GR}.$$

It should be mentioned that the formula of de Fernex-Docampo on a normal variety is stronger than the above one. However, their formula seems hard to be established on any variety. We also mention that when $X$ is a locally complete intersection, it is well-known to experts that $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)\cdot\omega_X=\omega_X^{GR}$. (See Remark 2.6 for details.)

Next we turn to understand MJ-multiplier ideals on algebraic curves, which is the first case one should investigate. Let $X$ be an algebraic curve, i.e., $X$ is a dimension one variety over $k$. We first show that the definition of MJ-multiplier ideal can be extended for $k$ of any characteristic, see Proposition 2.4. Simply by the definition, any MJ-multiplier ideal is automatically integrally closed and inside $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$. We then show that this fact is actually describing MJ-multiplier ideals on curves completely.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A10, 14Q20.

*Key words and phrases.* Multiplier ideal, conductor ideal, canonical sheaf.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let $X$ be an algebraic curve over $k$ of any characteristic and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathscr{O}_X$ be an ideal. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is a Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideal if and only if $\mathfrak{a}$ is integrally closed and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$.*

Note that our theorem is global in nature. The question that whether an integrally closed ideal is a multiplier ideal was initially raised by Lipman-Watanabe [LW03]. They proved that on a nonsingular surface, locally a multiplier ideal is the same as an integrally closed ideal. It was also studied in the work of Favre-Jonsson [FJ05]. Later, it was generalized to log terminal surface by Tucker [Tuc09]. Finally the question was completely solved by the celebrated work of Lazarsfeld-Lee [LL07] for higher dimensional nonsingular varieties. However, as complementary, we still wonder the same question on any surface for MJ-multiplier ideals, see Conjecture 3.6.

On the curve $X$, there are three intrinsic ideals: the Jacobian ideal $\mathrm{Jac}_X$, MJ-multiplier ideal $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$, and the conductor ideal $\mathfrak{C}_X$. They all capture the singularities of $X$ and have the inclusion $\overline{\mathrm{Jac}}_X \subseteq \widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_X$. (This inclusion is also true for higher dimensional varieties.) Our last theorem shows a criterion for a curve to be a local complete intersection by using these ideals.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let $X$ be an algebraic curve over $k$ of any characteristic. Let $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ be the Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideal and $\mathfrak{C}_X$ be the conductor ideal. Then $X$ is a local complete intersection if and only if $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) = \mathfrak{C}_X$.*

We point out that the above theorem is not true in general for higher dimensional varieties, see Example 3.10. But it can be established for codimension one points of any variety, see Remark 3.9. It would be interesting to understand algebraic or geometric consequences when conductor ideal is the same as MJ-multiplier ideal for any variety. We prove some evidences in Proposition 3.12 along this direction.

*Acknowledgement.* We are grateful to Lawrence Ein and Shihoko Ishii for valuable discussions.

## 2. Mather-Jacobian Multiplier ideals

Throughout this section, we assume the ground field $k$ is of *characteristic zero*. We start by recalling the definition of MJ-multiplier ideal defined in [EIM11]. For more detailed discussion, we refer to the paper [EIM11].

**Definition 2.1.** Let $X$ be a variety over $k$ of dimension $d$ and $f : Y \longrightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities factoring through the Nash blow-up of $X$. Then the image of the canonical homomorphism

$$f^*(\wedge^d \Omega^1_X) \longrightarrow \wedge^d \Omega^1_X$$

is an invertible sheaf of the form $\mathrm{Jac}_f \cdot \wedge^d \Omega^1_X$ where $\mathrm{Jac}_f$ is the relative Jacobian which is invertible and defines an effective divisor which is called the *Mather discrepancy divisor* and denoted by $\widehat{K}_{Y/X}$.

**Definition 2.2.** Let $X$ be a variety over $k$ and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathscr{O}_X$ a nonzero ideal on $X$. Given a log resolution $f : Y \longrightarrow X$ of $X$ and $\mathrm{Jac}_X \cdot \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathscr{O}_Y = \mathscr{O}_Y(-Z)$ and $\mathrm{Jac}_X \cdot \mathscr{O}_Y = \mathscr{O}_Y(-J_{Y/X})$ for some effective divisors $Z$ and $J_{Y/X}$ on $Y$ (such resolution automatically factors through the Nash blow-up, see Remark 2.3 of [EIM11]). The *Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideal* of $\mathfrak{a}$ of exponent $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is defined by

$$\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(X, \mathfrak{a}^t) := f_* \mathscr{O}_Y(\widehat{K}_{Y/X} - J_{Y/X} - \lfloor tZ \rfloor),$$

where $\lfloor\ \rfloor$ means the round down of an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Sometimes we simply write it as $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ and call it as *MJ-multiplier ideal*.

**Remark 2.3.** (1) It has been showed in [EIM11, Corrolary 2.14] that the sheaf $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(X, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ defined above is actually an ideal of $\mathscr{O}_X$.

(2) If $X$ is a curve, then we choose its normalization $f : X' \longrightarrow X$ as a resolution of singularities. In this case, we do not need to use Nash blow-up.

(3) It is clear that any MJ-multiplier ideal is contained in the ideal $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$. It would be interesting to understand more about this intrinsic ideal $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$.

For a variety $X$, let $f : X' \longrightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities (or simply take $X'$ to be the normalization of $X$). Then the conductor ideal $\mathfrak{C}_X$ is defined to be

$$\mathfrak{C}_X := \mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathscr{O}_X}(f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}, \mathscr{O}_X) = \mathrm{ann}(f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}/\mathscr{O}_X).$$

The following easy proposition shows that MJ-multiplier ideals are in fact contained in the conductor ideal. See also [EIM11, Corrolary 2.14].

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let $X$ be a variety over $k$ and $\mu : X' \to X$ be the normalization. Then one has $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \cdot \mu_*\mathscr{O}_{X'} \subseteq \mathscr{O}_X$. In particular, $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_X$.*

*Proof.* Since $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ is an ideal both in $\mathscr{O}_X$ and $\mu_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}$, the result then follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{C}_X$ is the maximal ideal both in $\mathscr{O}_X$ and $\mu_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}$. □

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let $X$ be a variety over $k$. Let $\omega_X$ be its canonical sheaf, $\omega_X^{GR}$ its Grauert-Riemenschneider canonical sheaf, and $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ its Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideal. Then one has*

$$\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \cdot \omega_X \subseteq \omega_X^{GR}.$$

*Proof.* The question is local, so we can assume that $X$ is affine and embedded in an affine space $\mathbb{A}^N$ such that $d := \dim X$ and $c := \mathrm{codim}_{\mathbb{A}^N} X$. Assume that $I_X := (F_1, F_2, \cdots, F_r)$. We can take those generators $F_i$'s general such that any $c$ of them provide a general link of $X$. Specifically, let $J \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, r\}$ such that $|J| = c$. Then let $I_{V_J}$ to be the ideal generated by $F_i \in J$, i.e., $I_{V_J} := \{F_i | i \in J\}$, and let $V_J$ be the subscheme defined by $I_{V_J}$. Since we take $F_i$'s general, $V_J$ is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{A}^N$. Denote $q_J := (I_{V_J} : I_X) \cdot \mathscr{O}_X$ and $\mathrm{Jac}_J := \mathrm{Jac}_{V_J} \cdot \mathscr{O}_X$. Consider the following morphisms

$$\wedge^d\Omega^1_X \xrightarrow{c_X} \omega_X \xrightarrow{u_J} \omega_{V_J}|_X \xrightarrow{w_J} \mathscr{O}_X.$$

It has been proved in [EM09] that

(1) $u_J$ is an injective and $w_J$ is a canonical isomorphism;

(2) the image of $u_J$ is $q_J \otimes \omega_{V_J}|_X$ and therefore if set $\alpha_J := w_J \circ u_J$, we get an isomorphism

$$\alpha_J : \omega_X \longrightarrow q_J;$$

(3) the image of $u_J \circ c_X$ is $\mathrm{Jac}_J \otimes \omega_{V_J}|_X$ and under the isomorphism $\alpha_J$ above the image of $c_X$ is $\mathrm{Jac}_J$.

Now consider $J_0 := \{1, 2, \cdots, c\}$ and write $V_0 := V_{J_0}$, $\mathrm{Jac}_0 := \mathrm{Jac}_{J_0}$, and $q_0 = q_{J_0}$. From the surjective morphism

$$\wedge^d\Omega^1_X \longrightarrow \mathrm{Jac}_0 \otimes \omega_{V_0}|_X,$$

we deduce that the Nash blowup $\widehat{X} = \mathrm{Bl}_{\mathrm{Jac}_0} X$ and $\widehat{K}_X = \mathrm{Jac}_0 \cdot \mathscr{O}_{\widehat{X}} \otimes \sigma^*\omega_{V_J}|_X$, where $\sigma : \widehat{X} \to X$ is the projection. Consider a log resolution $f : X' \to X$ of $\mathrm{Jac}_X$, $\mathrm{Jac}_0$ and $q_0$ such that

$\mathrm{Jac}_X \cdot \mathscr{O}_{X'} = \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-J_{X'/X})$, $\mathrm{Jac}_0 \cdot \mathscr{O}_{X'} = \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-F_0)$, and $q_0 \cdot \mathscr{O}_{X'} = \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-Q_0)$. This $f$ factors through $\widehat{X}$ and therefore we have

$$\widehat{K}_{X'/X} = \omega_{X'} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X'}(F) \otimes f^*\omega_{V_0}^{-1}|_X,$$

which implies that

$$\omega_X^{GR} = f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(\widehat{K}_{X'/X} - F_0) \otimes \omega_{V_0}|_X. \tag{2.5.1}$$

Note that $f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(\widehat{K}_{X'/X} - F_0)$ is an ideal sheaf because it is inside $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ since $\mathrm{Jac}_0 \subseteq \mathrm{Jac}_X$. On the other hand, we have the equality $\omega_X = q_0 \otimes \omega_{V_0}|_X$. Hence we deduce that

$$(\omega_X^{GR} : \omega_X) = (f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(\widehat{K}_{X'/X} - F_0) \otimes \omega_{V_0}|_X : q_0 \otimes \omega_{V_0}|_X) = (f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(\widehat{K}_{X'/X} - F_0) : q_0),$$

since $\omega_{V_0}|_X$ is invertible.

In order to prove the theorem we need to show $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \cdot q_0 \subseteq f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(\widehat{K}_{X'/X} - F_0)$. But note that $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \cdot q_0 \subseteq \widehat{\mathscr{I}}(q_0) = f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(\widehat{K}_{X'/X} - J_{X'/X} - Q_0)$. Thus it suffices to show

$$\mathrm{Jac}_X \cdot q_0 \subseteq \mathrm{Jac}_0\,. \tag{2.5.2}$$

To this end, consider for any $J \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, r\}$ with $|J| = c$ the isomorphism

$$\alpha_J \circ \alpha_0^{-1} : q_0 \longrightarrow q_J.$$

Since ideals $q_0$ and $q_J$ contain some nonzero divisors of $\mathscr{O}_X$, the isomorphism $\alpha_J \circ \alpha_0^{-1}$ is given by $\alpha_J \circ \alpha_0^{-1}(r) = \frac{b_J}{a_J} \cdot r$ for any $r \in q_0$, where $b_J$ and $a_J$ are some nonzero divisors in $\mathscr{O}_X$. Thus we have $q_J = \frac{b_J}{a_J} \cdot q_0$ and $\mathrm{Jac}_J = \frac{b_J}{a_J} \cdot \mathrm{Jac}_0$. But notice that

$$\mathrm{Jac}_X = \sum_J \mathrm{Jac}_J,$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{Jac}_X \cdot q_0 = \sum_J \mathrm{Jac}_J \cdot q_0 = \sum_J \frac{b_J}{a_J} \cdot \mathrm{Jac}_0 \cdot q_0 = \sum_J \frac{b_J}{a_J} \cdot q_0 \cdot \mathrm{Jac}_0 = \sum_J q_J \cdot \mathrm{Jac}_0 \subseteq \mathrm{Jac}_0\,.$$

This proves the inclusion (2.5.2) and the theorem then follows. □

**Remark 2.6.** (1) When $X$ is a locally complete intersection, the image of the canonical morphism $\wedge^d\Omega_X \longrightarrow \omega_X$ is $\mathrm{Jac}_X \otimes \omega_X$. Then following the same argument as above, one can deduce that

$$\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \cdot \omega_X = \omega_X^{GR}.$$

This equality was also mentioned explicitly or implicitly in work of [EIM11] and [dFD].

(2) In their work [dFD], when $X$ is normal, de Fernex-Docampo established that

$$\mathscr{I}^{\diamond}(\mathfrak{d}_X^{-1}) \cdot \omega_X \subseteq \omega_X^{GR},$$

where the ideal $\mathscr{I}^{\diamond}(\mathfrak{d}_X^{-1})$ is a special multiplier ideal defined on normal varieties. It is not clear to us right now that this ideal can be extended to any variety. It would be interesting if a similar result on any variety can be established. But so far it seems too strong to expect.

**Example 2.7.** It is easy to see that in general we do not have equality $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \cdot \omega_X = \omega_X^{GR}$ for any variety. Indeed, we can take $X$ which has rational singularities but not MJ-canonical. Then $\omega_X = \omega_X^{GR}$ but $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ is not trivial.

## 3. Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideals on curves

In this section, we study MJ-multiplier ideals on an algebraic curve. We assume that the ground field $k$ is of *any characteristic*. We shall first prove that the definition of MJ-multiplier ideals still works for such ground field $k$.

We start with briefly recalling some facts about a general Noether normalization. Assume that $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ is an affine variety of dimension $d$ and $R = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra generated by $x_1, \cdots, x_n$. We can choose $x_i$'s general (for example, we take the form $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n$, where $a_i$'s are general elements in the ground field $k$) such that any $d$ of them, say $x_{j_1}, \cdots, x_{j_d}$, will give a Noether normalization $k[x_{j_1}, \cdots, x_{j_d}] \subseteq k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ and the total ring of quotients $Q(R)$ is étale over $k(x_{j_1}, \cdots, x_{j_d})$. The following proposition is well-known to the experts and can be easily proved by doing calculation.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ be an affine variety of dimension $d$ over $k$, where $R = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$, and let $X'$ be the normalization of $X$. For any $J \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$ with $|J| = d$, write $\boldsymbol{x}_J = \{x_j \mid j \in J\}$ and $A_J := \operatorname{Spec} k[\boldsymbol{x}_J]$ and consider the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & A_J & \end{array}$$

*Then we can take the generators $x_i$'s to be general such that we have*

$$\operatorname{Jac}_X = \sum_J \operatorname{Jac}(X/A_J), \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{Jac}(X'/X) = \sum_J \operatorname{Jac}(X'/A_J), \tag{3.1.1}$$

*where $\operatorname{Jac}(X'/X)$, $\operatorname{Jac}(X/A_J)$ and $\operatorname{Jac}(X'/A_J)$ are relative Jacobian ideals in the diagram.*

Now let $X$ be an algebraic curve over $k$ and let $f : X' \longrightarrow X$ be the normalization of $X$. Let $\operatorname{Jac}(X/k)$ be the Jacobian ideal of $X$ and we can write $\operatorname{Jac}(X/k) \cdot \mathscr{O}_{X'} = \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-J_{X'/X})$ for an effective divisor $J_{X'/X}$ on $X'$. Let $\operatorname{Jac}(X'/X)$ be the relative Jacobian ideal of $f$ and clearly we have $\operatorname{Jac}(X'/X) = \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-\widehat{K}_{X'/X})$. For an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathscr{O}_X$ and we write $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{X'} = \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-Z)$ where $Z$ is an effective divisor on $X'$. Then formally we can form an Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideal of $\mathfrak{a}$ of exponent $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(X, \mathfrak{a}^t) := f_*\mathscr{O}_Y(\widehat{K}_{Y/X} - J_{Y/X} - \lfloor tZ \rfloor).$$

The crucial point is that we need to show this fraction ideal sheaf $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(X, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ is indeed inside $\mathscr{O}_X$ if $k$ has any characteristic. When $k$ has characteristic zero, it is proved in [EIM11]. Here we show it in the following proposition for $k$ of any characteristic. Note that it is enough to show that $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ is an ideal of $\mathscr{O}_X$.

**Proposition 3.2.** *As setting above, $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ is inside $\mathscr{O}_X$.*

*Proof.* The question is local, so we assume that $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ be an affine where $R = k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ and $x_i$'s are generators of $k$-algebra. We assume that the normalization $X' = \operatorname{Spec} S$. By Proposition 3.1, we can choose $x_i$'s general so that we have equalities in (3.1.1). All we need is to show $(S : \operatorname{Jac}(X'/X)) \cdot \operatorname{Jac}(X/k)$ is an $R$-ideal. To this end, for a Noether normalization $A_J$ as in Proposition 3.1, by [LS81, Theorem 2], we see that

$$(S : \operatorname{Jac}(X'/A_J)) \cdot \operatorname{Jac}(X/A_J) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_X,$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_X$ is the conductor ideal of $X$. Now by (3.1.1) and notice that $(S : \mathrm{Jac}(X'/X)) \subseteq (S : \mathrm{Jac}(X'/A_J))$ we see that

$$(S : \mathrm{Jac}(X'/X)) \cdot \mathrm{Jac}(X/k) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_X.$$

Finally since the conductor ideal $\mathfrak{C}_X$ is inside $R$ so the result follows. □

**Remark 3.3.** When $\mathrm{char}\, k = 0$, [EIM11] has showed that $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \subseteq \mathscr{O}_X$ by reducing to the usual multiplier ideals on a nonsingular variety. From it, we proved Proposition 2.4. This method requires the existence of resolution of singularities.

If $\mathrm{char}\, k > 0$, although we have proved the above proposition for curves, it is not clear to us how to extend the theory of MJ-multiplier ideal on varieties of any dimension. Hopefully, the above proposition could provide some evidence along this direction.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let $X$ be an algebraic curve over $k$ and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathscr{O}_X$ be an ideal. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is a MJ-multiplier ideal if and only if $\mathfrak{a}$ is integrally closed and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$.*

*Proof.* The necessary part is clear by the definition of Mather-Jacobian multiplier ideals. So we prove the sufficient part by assuming that $\mathfrak{a}$ is integrally closed and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$.

Let $f : X' \longrightarrow X$ be the normalization of $X$. We write $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{X'} = \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-E)$ and decompose $E$ as

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i E_i,$$

where $E_i$ are distinct prime divisors and $a_i > 0$. Let $\widehat{K}_{X'/X}$ be the Mather discrepancy divisor. Let $\mathrm{Jac}_X$ be the Jacobian ideal of $X$, and write $\mathrm{Jac}_X \cdot \mathscr{O}_{X'} = \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-J_{X'/X})$. By assumption of $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$, we have an inequality

$$-E \leq \widehat{K}_{X'/X} - J_{X'/X}, \tag{3.4.1}$$

Furthermore, since $\mathfrak{a}$ is integrally closed and is contained in $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$, we have $\mathfrak{a} = f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(-E)$.

Thus we can rewrite

$$\widehat{K}_{X'/X} - J_{X'/X} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i E_i + N, \quad r_i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where no $E_i$ is in the support of $N$ and $N$ must be effective because of (3.4.1). Now set

$$B := \sum_{i=1}^{t} (r_i + a_i) E_i + N$$

Note that for any $E_i$, we have $r_i + a_i \geq 0$ because of (3.4.1) and therefore $B$ is an effective divisor. Consider a divisor

$$T := \widehat{K}_{X'/X} - J_{X'/X} - B.$$

Thus $T = -E$ and therefore we see that $f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(T) = f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(-E) = \mathfrak{a}$.

Next we shall find an ideal $\mathfrak{b}$ and a number $\lambda$ such that $\mathfrak{b} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{X'} = \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-B')$ and $B = \lfloor \lambda B' \rfloor$. Once we have this $\mathfrak{b}$ and we immediately have $\mathfrak{a} = \widehat{\mathscr{I}}(b^\lambda)$. Our strategy is that we construct $B'$ first and then push it down to get $\mathfrak{b}$. For this, set

$$B' = nB + \sum_{j : r_j + a_j = 0} a_j E_j.$$

We fix a number $n \gg 0$ so that $n > a_i$ for all $i = 1, ..., t$. Now for such divisor $B'$, we have

$$\mathscr{O}_{X'}(-B') \subseteq \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i E_i).$$

This implies that

$$f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(-B') \subseteq f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(-E) = f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(T) \subseteq \mathscr{O}_X.$$

Thus we set $\mathfrak{b} := f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(-B')$, which is an ideal. Now since $f$ is an affine finite morphism, we then get the surjection

$$f^*f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}(-B') \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-B') \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.4.2}$$

Thus we see that $\mathfrak{b} \cdot \mathscr{O}_{X'} = \mathscr{O}_{X'}(-B')$. Finally, we set $\lambda = \frac{1}{n}$, and notice that $B = \lfloor \lambda B' \rfloor$ and therefore we obtain $\mathfrak{a} = \widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathfrak{b}^\lambda)$. □

**Remark 3.5.** (1) Note that the theorem is global, i.e., $X$ need not to be affine. The similar result is certainly not true for higher dimensional variety in general by the result of Lazarsfeld-Lee [LL07]. The essential point in the curve case is the surjectivity (3.4.2).

(2) However, for surface case, in the light of the work of Lipman-Watanabe [LW03], Favre-Jonsson [FJ05], and Tucker [Tuc09], we may expect the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.6.** Let $X$ be an algebraic surface over $k$ and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathscr{O}_X$ be an ideal. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in X$ be a closed point. Then $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ is a MJ-multiplier ideal at $\mathfrak{p}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ is integrally closed and $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)_\mathfrak{p}$.

Next we prove Theorem 1.3. Let us first mention the following easy lemma used in the proof.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension one with a canonical module $\omega_R$. Let $M$ be a finite torsion-free $R$-module and $N$ be a submodule of $M$ such that the length $\lambda(M/N)$ if finite. Then one has*

$$\lambda(M/N) = \lambda(\mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \omega_R)/\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \omega_R)).$$

*Proof.* Apply $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\ _\ , \omega_R)$ to the exact sequence $0 \to N \to M \to M/N \to 0$. By the assumption that $M/N$ has finite length and $M$ is torsion-free, we deduce an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \omega_R) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, \omega_R) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M/N, \omega_R) \to 0.$$

Now by local duality and Matlis duality, we can show that

$$\lambda(M/N) = \lambda(\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M/N, \omega_R))$$

and then the result follows. □

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let $X$ be an algebraic curve over $k$. Let $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ be the MJ-multiplier ideal and $\mathfrak{C}_X$ be the conductor ideal. Then $X$ is a local complete intersection if and only if $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) = \mathfrak{C}_X$.*

*Proof.* If $X$ is a local complete intersection, then the result follows from Remark 2.6 (1) (which is still true in our case) and Lemma 3.11. So we just need to prove the sufficient part of the theorem by assuming that $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) = \mathfrak{C}_X$.

The question is local, so we can assume that $X$ is an affine curve. Let $f : X' \longrightarrow X$ be the normalization of $X$. Note that since $f$ is finite, by Remark 2.3 (1), the condition $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) = \mathfrak{C}_X$ is the same as

$$\mathrm{Jac}_X \cdot \mathscr{O}_{X'} = \mathfrak{C}_X \cdot \mathrm{Jac}(X'/X), \tag{3.8.1}$$

where $\mathrm{Jac}_X$ is the Jacobian ideal of $X$ and $\mathrm{Jac}(X'/X)$ is the relative Jacobian of $f$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in X$ be a closed point and $R := \mathscr{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the local ring at $\mathfrak{p}$. Consider the following fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \operatorname{Spec} R & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

where $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} := \operatorname{Spec} R \times_X X'$ and we can write $X'_{\mathfrak{p}} = \operatorname{Spec} S$. Note that $S$ is a regular semilocal noetherian ring and is a finitely generated $R$-module. Furthermore since $S$ is locally principal, it is then principal, i.e., any ideal of $S$ is generated by an element of $S$.

Now we shall take a Noether normalization $\mu : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{A} = \operatorname{Spec} k[y]$ for some $y$ in $\mathscr{O}_X$. Write $\mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})$ and $\mathrm{Jac}(X'/\mathbb{A})$ to be relative Jacobian ideals. We make the following crucial claim in our proof.

**Claim 3.8.2.** we can choose $y$ general so that along $X'_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have

(1) $\mathrm{Jac}(X'/X) \cdot S = \mathrm{Jac}(X'/\mathbb{A}) \cdot S$.
(2) $(\mathrm{Jac}_X)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot S = \mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot S$;

*Proof of claim.* We can assume $X = \operatorname{Spec} k[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$, where $x_i$'s are generators of $k$-algebra. Consider the natural morphism

$$S \otimes_R \Omega^1_{R/k} \xrightarrow{u} \Omega^1_{S/k} \to \Omega^1_{S/R} \to 0.$$

The image of $u$ is generated by $1 \otimes dx_1, \cdots, 1 \otimes dx_n$ as $S$-module since $\Omega^1_{R/k}$ is generated by those $dx_i$'s. Because $S$ is regular we see that $\Omega^1_{S/k}$ is isomorphic to $S$. Also because $S$ is semilocal, thus the image of $u$, as an $S$-submodule, can be generated by one element in the form $1 \otimes dy$, where $y = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n$ and $a_1, \cdots, a_n$ are general elements of the field $k$. Thus we take this $y$ to produce the Noether normalization $\mathbb{A} = \operatorname{Spec} k[y]$ with the morphism $\mu : X \to \mathbb{A}$. Write $\mathfrak{q} := \mu(\mathfrak{p})$ and $A := k[y]_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Then in the sequence

$$S \otimes_A \Omega^1_{A/k} \xrightarrow{u_A} \Omega^1_{S/k} \to \Omega^1_{S/A} \to 0,$$

the image of $u_A$ is $S \cdot (1 \otimes dy)$. This shows that $\Omega^1_{S/R} = \Omega^1_{S/A}$ and then the statement (1) follows. Again use this element $y$, we can split

$$S \otimes_R \Omega^1_{R/k} = S \cdot (1 \otimes dy) \oplus T,$$

where $T$ is the torsion part. We can check that $T = S \otimes_R \Omega^1_{R/A}$. Also from the splitting above we see that $\mathrm{Fitt}^1(S \otimes_R \Omega^1_{R/k}) = \mathrm{Fitt}^0(T)$ and then the statement (2) follows. Thus Claim is proved.

Having Claim 3.8.2 in hand, we see that on $X'_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the condition (3.8.1) becomes

$$\mathfrak{C}_{X,\mathfrak{p}} \cdot (\mathrm{Jac}(X'/X) \cdot S) = (\mathrm{Jac}_X)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot S,$$

which is equivalent to the equality

$$\mathfrak{C}_{X,\mathfrak{p}} \cdot (\mathrm{Jac}(X'/\mathbb{A}) \cdot S) = \mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot S. \tag{3.8.3}$$

We fix such Noether normalization $\mu : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ in the sequel.

Let $\mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})$ and $\mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})$ be the Dedekind complementary modules of $X/\mathbb{A}$ and $X'/\mathbb{A}$ (for the details, see [Kun08, Definition 8.4]). We have inclusions $R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}$. On the other hand, we have inclusions

$$\mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq R : \mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq R : (X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}. \tag{3.8.4}$$

Since $S$ is principal, $\mathrm{Jac}(X'/\mathbb{A}) \cdot S = S \cdot y$ for a nonzero divisor $y \in S$. Because $X'$ is a nonsingular curve, we have $\mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A}) = \mathscr{O}_{X'} : \mathrm{Jac}(X'/\mathbb{A})$ and therefore we have $\mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = S : (\mathrm{Jac}(X'/\mathbb{A}) \cdot S) = S \cdot y^{-1}$. Thus $R : \mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = R : S \cdot y^{-1} = (R : S)y = \mathfrak{C}_{X,\mathfrak{p}} \cdot y$. But $\mathfrak{C}_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$ is also an $S$-ideal so $\mathfrak{C}_{X,\mathfrak{p}} \cdot y = \mathfrak{C}_{X,\mathfrak{p}} \cdot (S \cdot y) = \mathfrak{C}_{X,\mathfrak{p}} \cdot (\mathrm{Jac}(X'/\mathbb{A}) \cdot S)$. Thus we deduce that

$$R : \mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{C}_{X,\mathfrak{p}} \cdot (\mathrm{Jac}(X'/\mathbb{A}) \cdot S),$$

and therefore (3.8.3) is equivalent to

$$R : \mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot S. \tag{3.8.5}$$

Again, since $S$ is principal, we have $\mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot S = S \cdot \delta$, where $\delta \in \mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We show that this $\delta$ generates $\mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as an $R$-ideal. To this end, consider inclusions of (3.8.4),

$$R \cdot \delta \subseteq \mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq R : \mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq R : \mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

By equality (3.8.5), we have $R : \mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot S = S \cdot \delta$. Thus we have

$$R \cdot \delta \subseteq \mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq R : \mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq R : \mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = S \cdot \delta.$$

Granting the inequality in Claim 3.8.6 for the time being, we immediately have $R \cdot \delta = \mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This means that $\mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})$ is principal at $\mathfrak{p}$.

Now by using [Lip69, Lemma 1], we see that the projective dimension $\mathrm{pd}_R(\Omega^1_{X/\mathbb{A}})_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1$ since $\mathrm{Jac}(X/\mathbb{A})$ is principal at $\mathfrak{p}$. Also notice that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mu^*\Omega^1_{\mathbb{A}/k} \to \Omega^1_{X/k} \to \Omega^1_{X/\mathbb{A}} \to 0,$$

where $\Omega^1_{\mathbb{A}/k}$ is locally free. Then we obtain that the projective dimension $\mathrm{pd}_R(\Omega^1_{X/k})_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1$ and therefore $\mathrm{pd}_{\mathscr{O}_X} \Omega^1_{X/k} \leq 1$, which implies that $X$ is locally a complete intersection by the well-known result of [Vas68] or [Fer67].

Finally, we need to prove the following claim to finish our proof.

**Claim 3.8.6.** Use $\lambda$ to denote the length of modules. Then one has

$$\lambda\left(\frac{R : \mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}{R : \mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right) \geq \lambda\left(\frac{\mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right) = \lambda\left(\frac{S}{R}\right)$$

*Proof.* First note that the last equality can be deduced from duality and Lemma 3.7 by applying $\mathrm{Hom}_R(_, \mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}})$ to the exact sequence $0 \to R \to S \to S/R \to 0$. So we just need to show the first inequality.

To this end, we note that there exits a canonical module $K$ of $R$ such that $R \subseteq K \subseteq S$. Indeed, we can first choose a canonical ideal $\omega$ of $R$, then there is an element $t \in \omega$ such that $St = \omega \cdot S$ since $S$ is principal. Then we simply take $K := t^{-1}\omega$. Also note that such $K$ satisfies the condition $KS = S$.

Now by the choice of $K$, we have $\mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq K\mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = K\mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which implies that

$$\lambda\left(\frac{\mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right) \leq \lambda\left(\frac{K\mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}{K\mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right).$$

On the other hand, we have $K : K\mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = (K : K) : \mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = R : \mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since $K : K = R$. Similarly, $K : K\mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = (K : K) : \mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = R : \mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus by Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\lambda\left(\frac{K\mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}{K\mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right) = \lambda\left(\frac{\mathrm{Hom}_R(K\mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}, K)}{\mathrm{Hom}_R(K\mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}, K)}\right) = \lambda\left(\frac{R : \mathfrak{C}(X'/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}{R : \mathfrak{C}(X/\mathbb{A})_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right),$$

which proves the claim.

□

**Remark 3.9.** Exactly follow the same argument, Theorem 3.8 can be proved for codimension one points on any variety, i.e., let $X$ be a variety of any dimension and let $\mathfrak{p} \in X$ be a codimension one point, then $X$ is a local complete intersection at $\mathfrak{p}$ if and only if $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{C}_{X,\mathfrak{p}}$.

**Example 3.10.** (1) Consider $X$ to be the cone over the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Then $X$ is MJ-canonical so that $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) = \mathfrak{C}_X = \mathscr{O}_X$. But $X$ is not a local complete intersection. For more details, we refer to [EI13, Example 3.13].

(2) Consider $X$ to be the cone over a nonsingular hypersurface of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Then $X$ is a normal locally complete intersection. $X$ is MJ-log canonical but not MJ-canonical. Thus $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ is not trivial while $\mathfrak{C}_X$ is trivial. See [EI13, Example 3.12] for more details.

At the end of this section, we discuss some evidences for a higher dimensional variety whose MJ-multiplier ideal is the same as its conductor ideal.

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let $X$ be a variety over $k$ and $f : X' \longrightarrow X$ be its normalization. Let $\mathfrak{C}_X$ be the conductor ideal of $X$. Then one has $\mathfrak{C}_X \cdot \omega_X \subseteq f_*\omega_{X'}$. If furthermore $X$ is Gorenstein then one has $\mathfrak{C}_X = f_*\omega_{X'} \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$.*

*Proof.* Recall that $\mathfrak{C}_X = \mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}(f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}, \mathscr{O}_X)$. Then we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}(f_*\mathscr{O}_{X'}, \omega_X) = f_*\omega_{X'} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}_X \otimes \omega_X & \longrightarrow & \omega_X \end{array}$$

This implies that $\mathfrak{C}_X \cdot \omega_X \subseteq f_*\omega_{X'}$. If $X$ is Gorenstein, then it is by definition that $\mathfrak{C}_X = f_*\omega_{X'} \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$. □

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let $X$ be a Gorenstein variety over $\mathbb{C}$, $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X)$ be the MJ-multiplier ideals, and $\mathfrak{C}_X$ be the conductor ideal. Let $X'$ be the normalization of $X$. Assume that $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) = \mathfrak{C}_X$. Then one has $\omega_{X'} = \omega_{X'}^{GR}$, where $\omega_{X'}^{GR}$ is the Grauert-Riemenschneider canonical sheaf of $X'$.*

*Proof.* Let $f : X' \longrightarrow X$ be the normalization morphism from $X'$ to $X$. By Lemma 3.11, one has

$$\mathfrak{C}_X = f_*\omega_{X'} \otimes \omega_X^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 2.5, we have $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) \subseteq \omega_X^{GR} \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$. Thus the assumption $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) = \mathfrak{C}_X$ implies that $\omega_X^{GR} = f_*\omega_{X'}$. Note that $\omega_X^{GR} = f_*\omega_{X'}^{GR}$. So we have $f_*\omega_{X'}^{GR} = f_*\omega_{X'}$. Since $f$ is affine and finite, we deduce that $\omega_{X'}^{GR} = \omega_{X'}$. □

**Corollary 3.13.** *Assume that $X$ is a Gorenstein surface over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\widehat{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{O}_X) = \mathfrak{C}_X$. Then the normalization of $X$ has rational singularities.*

*Proof.* Let $X'$ be the normalization of $X$. Then by the proposition above, $\omega_{X'} = \omega_{X'}^{GR}$. But $X'$ is Cohen-Macaulay, thus $X'$ has rational singularities. □

## References


[dFD] Tommaso de Fernex and Roi Docampo. Jacobian discrepancies and rational singularities. *to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc.* arXiv:1106.2172.

[EI13] Lawrence Ein and Shihoko Ishii. Singularities with respect to Mather-Jacobian discrepancies. 2013. arXiv:1310.6882.

[EIM11] Lawrence Ein, Shihoko Ishii, and Mircea Mustata. *Multiplier ideals via Mather discrepancy.* arXiv:1107.2192, 2011.

[EM09] Lawrence Ein and Mircea Mustaţă. Jet schemes and singularities. In *Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005. Part 2*, volume 80 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 505–546. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[Fer67] Daniel Ferrand. Suite régulière et intersection complète. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 264:A427–A428, 1967.

[FJ05] Charles Favre and Mattias Jonsson. Valuations and multiplier ideals. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18(3):655–684 (electronic), 2005.

[Kun08] Ernst Kunz. *Residues and duality for projective algebraic varieties*, volume 47 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. With the assistance of and contributions by David A. Cox and Alicia Dickenstein.

[Lip69] Joseph Lipman. On the Jacobian ideal of the module of differentials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21:422–426, 1969.

[LL07] Robert Lazarsfeld and Kyungyong Lee. Local syzygies of multiplier ideals. *Invent. Math.*, 167(2):409–418, 2007.

[LS81] Joseph Lipman and Avinash Sathaye. Jacobian ideals and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda. *Michigan Math. J.*, 28(2):199–222, 1981.

[LW03] Joseph Lipman and Kei-ichi Watanabe. Integrally closed ideals in two-dimensional regular local rings are multiplier ideals. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 10(4):423–434, 2003.

[Tuc09] Kevin Tucker. Integrally closed ideals on log terminal surfaces are multiplier ideals. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 16(5):903–908, 2009.

[Vas68] Wolmer V. Vasconcelos. A note on normality and module of differentials. *Math. Z.*, 105:291–293, 1968.

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2067, USA
*E-mail address*: niu6@math.purdue.edu

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2067, USA
*E-mail address*: ulrich@math.purdue.edu